Notes for 1/30/25 CAWD Bd Mtg

Agenda Item #5 - Can the GM update us in her report on Item 29, page 16, of the January Mtg. Minutes?

Agenda Item #11 – I never see reports on the cleaning of the pressurized line, only the gravity fed lines, The pressurized line to Highlands Inn/Tickled Pink never seems to appear on the maps or in the reports. Can we add it?

Agenda Item #19 – table with info for Covey Grill – when a client business passes the first two standards with a "NO" answer but is followed by a "YES" for unlawful disposal, the Staff Note should say why.

Item #21 – Thank you to staff for clearly stating why we had to go sole source. We need to do this every time in each such Staff Note for public transparency/education.

Why dies there appear to be no vendor warranty for this restoration? They say only the OEM warranty applies. What is the OEM warranty, if any, after the R&R of this pump?

Item #22 – Does the Board vote on approving any high value items for "Surplus" before it is done by staff or only afterward like this? If we have no policy, only past practice, I would request we set a policy that for example any Surplus-ing of items estimated to be valued at ??\$5,000??? or more receive Board approval. The latter is the type of policy I experienced in other agencies. We would need to set the policy \$ amount high enough to not be a workload nuisance, but also be fiscally reasonable like \$5000-\$10,000 plus. Staff could suggest a reasonable number.

Item #23 – If this is a Sole Source contract, please explain why we didn't go out to bid; or please review during the staff note presentation why & how we got to this point.

Item #24 - how many total hours of effort does this contract cover?

Item #27 - Question 2 - what are our investment alternatives to CalPERS

Section 115 investments? The County's low rates don't qualify. If we don't set aside these funds, how fast do unfunded/underfunded pension liabilities rise? How do we evaluate that risk?

Item #29 – SUGGESTION ONLY – a second version of the SSO graph should be added to future annual reports showing the annual VOLUME of spills, not just the numeric frequency, as is currently only shown. A lot of small spills are a nuisance to be managed/reduced, but one big spill can be far more serious.

Get Outlook for iOS